



**Election Systems
& Software**

MAINTAINING VOTER CONFIDENCE.
ENHANCING THE VOTING EXPERIENCE.

INTEGRITY
TRANSPARENCY
SECURITY
INNOVATION

1208 John Galt Boulevard • Omaha, NE 68137 USA
Phone: 402.593.8101 • Toll-Free: 1.800.247.8683 • Fax: 402.593.8107
www.essvote.com

December 15, 2006

VIA E-MAIL: drdrury@dos.state.fl.us

Mr. David Drury
Chief, Bureau of Voting Systems Certification
Department of State
Division of Elections
1801, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

RE: iVotronic v8.0.1.2 Source Code Review

Dear David;

David, below are ES&S source code review guidelines for the conduction of any review of source code to be performed by the Department of State and any agent acting on your behalf as a result of the under vote investigation from the Sarasota County mid-term election. It is our desire the methodology and focus of the review be performed in a manner that incorporates the items described below.

ES&S Source Code Review Scope and Methodology

The review needs to be focused on one singular purpose. That purpose is to determine if code or logic exists in the software that would have directly and conclusively caused voter selections to not have been captured or to have been omitted for the U.S. House of Representative District 13 contest in the Florida 2006 General Election in Sarasota County. Any analysis, statement, inference, or comment that is outside the discovery of such software is not relevant and is outside the scope and boundary of this source code review.

Specifically, but not exclusively, the review should include:

- No statements about "potential" situations
- No statements that discuss what "might" have occurred
- No statements about possible "vulnerabilities"
- No statements about the "style" of the source code
- No statements about conditions not determinable or indeterminate conditions. If the result is not able to be determined, then such statements are not to be included
- No statements commenting on the use of less desirable techniques, instructions, or constructs
- No statements rendering opinions on proper use, improper use, or correctness of source code
- No statements rendering opinions on security techniques employed or not employed
- No statements discussing presence or absence of cryptography or other security methods and technologies